



## Annex 1: EVALUATION OF THE TULA FEEDBACK FORMS (TULA 20.05.2015-22.05.2015)

Aspects of the workshop were rated by the participants on a 1 to 5 scale, whereby

"1 = "strongly agree"; 2 = "agree"; 3 = "neither agree nor disagree"; 4 = "disagree; 5 = "strongly disagree"

1. TRAINING ORGANIZATION		∅ <sub>n=14</sub>
1.1. The venue information received before the training was useful.		1,57
1.2. The duration of the training was right for me.		1,86
1.3. The catering was of good quality.		1,57
1.4. The training was well organized.		1,21
Written comments of participants:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"Everything was well structured and clear during the seminar."</li> </ul>		

2. TRAINING MATERIAL		∅ <sub>n=14</sub>
2.1. The materials distributed before the training were useful.		1,5
2.2. The handouts distributed during the training were useful.		1,43
2.3. The presentation-slides were well-structured.		1,36
Written comments of participants:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"But sometimes the material was hard to understand (there is some ambiguity), so maybe the explanation should be a bit easier."</li> </ul>		

3. TRAINING CONTENTS		∅ n=14
3.1. The training content was relevant to me.		1,64
3.2. The activities were relevant.		1,5
3.3. The balance between different types of activities was right.		1,21
3.4. The training fulfilled the established objectives.		1,43
4.5. The training fulfilled my personal expectations.		1,57
Written comments of participants: /		

4. TRAINING DESIGN AND DIDACTICS		∅ n=14
4.1. The workload was appropriate.		1,64
4.2. The number of training participants was right.		1,64
4.3. The rotation of working groups was appropriate.		1,36
4.4. The content was presented in a well-structured manner.		1,14
4.5. The presenter engaged the audience.		1,21
<p>Written comments of participants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “The first day was rather stressful because of the loads of information, put on us. Maybe it would be useful to balance information (lectures) with activities.”</li> <li>• “The number of participants is the point for the Russian party/side, as PPL tend not to show up. That’s the point for the uni-ies to consider.”</li> <li>• “Thanks a lot. It was very informative. Special thanks for Module 1 and Module 3.”</li> </ul>		

## Absolute Frequency of the Rating

Item	1	2	3	4	5	Sum
1.1.	8	5	0	1	0	14
1.2.	7	4	1	2	0	14
1.3.	6	8	0	0	0	14
1.4.	11	3	0	0	0	14
2.1.	9	4	0	1	0	14
2.2.	8	6	0	0	0	14
2.3.	10	3	1	0	0	14
3.1.	6	7	1	0	0	14
3.2.	7	7	0	0	0	14
3.3.	11	3	0	0	0	14
3.4.	8	6	0	0	0	14
3.5.	8	4	2	0	0	14
4.1.	7	6	0	1	0	14
4.2.	8	3	3	0	0	14
4.3.	11	1	2	0	0	14
4.4.	12	2	0	0	0	14
4.5.	11	3	0	0	0	14