

## Annex 1: EVALUATION OF THE MOSCOW FEEDBACK FORMS (OCT 05 – OCT 07, 2015)

Aspects of the workshop were rated by the participants on a 1 to 5 scale, whereby "1 = "strongly disagree"; 2 = "disagree"; 3 = "neither agree nor disagree"; 4 = "agree"; "5 = "strongly agree"

1. TRAINING ORGANIZATION	Ø
1.1. The venue information received before the training was useful. Ø <sub>n=9</sub>	4,66
1.2. The duration of the training was right for me. Ø <sub>n=8</sub>	4,5
1.3. The catering was of good quality. Ø <sub>n=9</sub>	5
1.4. The training was well organized. Ø <sub>n=9</sub>	4,77
Written comments of participants:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "Thank you for creating a very warm and friendly environment"</li> <li>• "😊"</li> </ul>	

2. TRAINING MODULE 1 - UoC	Ø
2.1. The handouts distributed during the training were useful. Ø <sub>n=7</sub>	4,86
2.2. The training content was relevant to me. Ø <sub>n=9</sub>	4,89
2.3. The balance between different types of activities was right. Ø <sub>n=7</sub>	5
2.4. The training fulfilled the established objectives. Ø <sub>n=9</sub>	4,86
2.5. The workload was appropriate. Ø <sub>n=7</sub>	4,86
2.6. The content was presented in a well-structured manner. Ø <sub>n=7</sub>	5
2.7. The presenter engaged the audience. Ø <sub>n=9</sub>	4,89

Written comments of participants:

- "Thank you so very much for your support and encouragement!"
- "Super!"

**3. TRAINING MODULE 2 - DUW**

Ø

3.1. The handouts distributed during the training were useful. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

5

3.2. The presentation-slides were well-structured. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

4,88

3.3. The training content was relevant to me. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

5

3.4. The balance between different types of activities was right. Ø<sub>n=7</sub>

5

3.5. The training fulfilled the established objectives. Ø<sub>n=7</sub>

5

3.6. The workload was appropriate. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

4,88

3.7. The content was presented in a well-structured manner. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

5

3.8. The presenter engaged the audience. Ø<sub>n=9</sub>

5

Written comments of participants:

- "Thank you so much for deep comments on our results and presentations. We understood under your guidance, that this is the problem to be thought over and to be solved involving specialists of many levels."
- "Super!"

**4. TRAINING MODULE 3 - DUK**

Ø

4.1. The handouts distributed during the training were useful. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

4,88

4.2. The presentation-slides were well-structured. Ø<sub>n=9</sub>

4,89

4.3. The training content was relevant to me. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

4,75

4.4. The balance between different types of activities was right. Ø<sub>n=9</sub>

5

4.5. The training fulfilled the established objectives. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

4,88

The workload was appropriate. Ø<sub>n=8</sub>

5

The content was presented in a well-structured manner. $\bar{x}_{n=8}$	4,88
The presenter engaged the audience. $\bar{x}_{n=9}$	4,89
<b>Written comments of participants:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "Thank you very much for sharing your theoretical knowledge as well as practical skills with us. Now I can feel more comfortable about the assessment of the students and I will for sure combine practical and theoretical tasks during the lecture."</li> <li>• "Super!"</li> </ul>	

### Absolute Frequency of the Rating

Item	1	2	3	4	5	Sum
1.1.	0	0	0	3	6	9
1.2.	0	0	1	2	5	8
1.3.	0	0	0	0	9	9
1.4.	0	0	0	2	7	9
2.1.	0	0	0	1	6	7
2.2.	0	0	0	1	8	9
2.3.	0	0	0	0	7	7
2.4.	0	0	0	1	8	9
2.5.	0	0	0	1	6	7
2.6.	0	0	0	0	7	7
2.7.	0	0	0	1	8	9
3.1.	0	0	0	0	8	8
3.2.	0	0	0	1	7	8
3.3.	0	0	0	0	8	8
3.4.	0	0	0	0	7	7
3.5.	0	0	0	0	7	7
3.6.	0	0	0	1	7	8
3.7.	0	0	0	0	8	8
3.8.	0	0	0	0	9	9
4.1.	0	0	0	1	7	8
4.2.	0	0	0	1	8	9

4.3.	0	0	0	2	6	8
4.4.	0	0	0	0	9	9
4.5.	0	0	0	1	7	8
4.6.	0	0	0	0	8	8
4.7.	0	0	0	1	7	8
4.8.	0	0	0	1	8	9